PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent creamy anwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F 425 I Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

WAC-02-023-55653

Office:

CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: **JAN 22** 2004

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality

Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

> t P. Wiemann, Director dministrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a software consulting business that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a programmer analyst. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a) (15) (H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not submitted contracts or an itinerary indicating where the beneficiary would work. The director further determined that without such contracts and itinerary, the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation or that the petitioner is the beneficiary's employer. The director further determined that the petitioner had not demonstrated that it had complied with the terms of the labor condition application.

On appeal, counsel submits a contract between the petitioner and Computer Discoveries, Inc., and a job order request form to demonstrate the need for the beneficiary's services.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(2)(i)(F), Agents as petitioners:

A United States agent may file a petition in cases involving workers who are traditionally self-employed or workers who use agents to arrange short-term employment on their behalf with numerous employers, and in cases where a foreign employer authorizes the agent to act on its behalf. A United States agent may be: the actual employer of the beneficiary, the representative of both the employer and the beneficiary, or, a person or entity authorized by the employer to act for, in place of, the

employer as its agent. A petition filed by a United States agent is subject to the following conditions;

- (1) An agent performing the function of an employer must guarantee the wages and other terms and conditions of employment by contractual agreement with the beneficiary or beneficiaries of the petition. The agent/employer must also provide an itinerary of definite employment and information on any other services planned for the period of time requested.
- (2) A person or company in business as an agent may file the H petition involving multiple employers as the representative of both the employers and the beneficiary beneficiaries if the supporting documentation includes complete itinerary of services engagements. The itinerary shall specify the dates of each service or engagement, the names and addresses of the actual employers, and the names and addresses of the establishment, venues, or locations where the services will be performed. In questionable cases, a contract between employers the and the beneficiary beneficiaries may be required. The burden is on the agent to explain the terms and conditions of the employment and to provide any required documentation.
- (3) A foreign employer, who, through a United States agent, files a petition for an H nonimmigrant alien is responsible for complying with all of the employer sanctions provisions of section 274A of the Act and 8 C.F.R. part 274a.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii):

United States employer means a person, firm, corporation, contractor, or other association, or organization in the United States which:

- (1) Engages a person to work within the United States;
- (2) Has an employer-employee relationship with respect to employees under this part, as indicated by the fact that it may hire, pay, fire, supervise, or otherwise control the work of any such employee; and
- (3) Has an Internal Revenue Service Tax identification number.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(2)(i)(B):

A petition which requires services to be performed or training to be received in more than one location must include an itinerary with the dates and locations of the services or training

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iv)(B), an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation shall be accompanied by:

Copies of any written contracts between the petitioner and beneficiary, or a summary of the terms of the oral agreement under which the beneficiary will be employed, if there is no written contract.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(9)(i), the director shall consider all the evidence submitted and such other evidence as he or she may independently require to assist his or her adjudication. (Emphasis added.)

Further, in an Immigration and Naturalization Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS)) memorandum entitled "Supporting Documentation for H-1B Petitions," dated November 13, 1995, it states as follows:

Requests for contracts should be made only in those cases where the officer can articulate a specific need for such documentation.

The record contains a summary of the of terms employment indicating that the petitioner has hired the beneficiary and will pay the beneficiary's salary. Although the record may demonstrate that the petitioner and beneficiary share an employer-employee relationship, as with employment agencies as petitioners, CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. Cf. Defensor v. Meissner, 201 F.3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not whether the petitioner is an employer or an agent, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a bachelor's degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act. To interpret the regulations any other way would lead

¹ The court in *Defensor v. Meissner* observed that the four criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) present certain ambiguities when compared to the statutory definition, and "might also be read as merely an additional requirement that a position must meet, in addition to the statutory and regulatory definition." *Supra* at 387.

to absurd results: if CIS was limited to reviewing a petitioner's self-imposed employment requirements, then any alien with a bachelor's degree could be brought into the United States to perform a menial, non-professional, or an otherwise non-specialty occupation, so long as the employer required all such employees to have bachelor's degrees. See id. at 388.

In this case, the petitioner had indicated in its October 3, 2001 letter that the beneficiary would be rendering programmer analyst services at client sites. As the director found that the record contains no specific evidence that the beneficiary would be performing work in a specialty occupation, the petitioner now submits a contract on appeal, maintaining that the beneficiary will be performing programmer analyst work at Computer Discoveries, Inc., a business that has a contract and work order request form with the petitioner. As such, the petitioner has overcome this portion of the director's objections.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B), the petitioner shall submit the following with an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation:

- 1. A certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary,
- 2. A statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application for the duration of the alien's authorized period of stay,
- 3. Evidence that the alien qualifies to perform services in the specialty occupation

The petitioner has provided two certified labor condition applications and statements that it will comply with the terms of these labor condition applications. The first labor condition application incorrectly specifies the beneficiary's intended work Arizona; Phoenix, the second as labor application specifies the beneficiary's intended work location as Westmont, Illinois, the address of the petitioner's client, Computer Discoveries, Inc. Although the second labor condition application correctly reflects the location of the beneficiary's intended worksite of Westmont, Illinois, that application was certified on March 19, 2002, a date subsequent to October 26, 2001, the filing date of the visa petition. Regulations at 8214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) provide that before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the

Page 6 WAC-02-023-55653

petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application. (Emphasis added.) Since this has not occurred, it is concluded that the petition may not be approved.

As related in the discussion above, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.